



# A non- $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable product of $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable groups <sup>☆</sup>

Ol'ga Sipacheva

Lomonosov Moscow State University, Leninskie Gory 1, Moscow, 119991, Russia



## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 7 April 2025

Received in revised form 15 July 2025

Accepted 16 July 2025

Available online 21 July 2025

### MSC:

22A05

54F45

### Keywords:

Topological group

$\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable group

Product of  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable groups

## ABSTRACT

An example of two zero-dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable groups whose product is not  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable is constructed. One of these groups is second-countable and the other Lindelöf to any finite power.

© 2025 Elsevier B.V. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

**Definition 1** ([1]). A topological group  $G$  is said to be  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable if, for every continuous function  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a continuous homomorphism  $h: G \rightarrow H$  to a second-countable topological group  $H$  and a continuous function  $g: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = g \circ h$ .

The study of  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable groups goes back to the work of Pontryagin, who proved the  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizability of compact groups [2, Example 37] (see also [3, Theorem 8.1.1]), although the notion was explicitly introduced only as late as 1991 by Tkachenko in [1]. In the same paper Tkachenko asked whether or not the  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizability of groups is preserved by finite products [1, Problem 4.1]; versions of this question (some of which still remain unanswered) can be found in [3].

The first examples of  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable groups  $G$  and  $H$  for which  $G \times H$  is not  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable were given by this author [4] and, independently, Reznichenko [5]. All these examples were Lindelöf and had some additional properties (for example, Reznichenko constructed a pair of Lindelöf groups whose product was not pseudo- $\aleph_1$ -compact and another pair of Lindelöf groups whose product was separable and contained a closed discrete subspace of cardinality  $2^\omega$ ). In this paper, we construct two zero-dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable

<sup>☆</sup> This work was financially supported by the Russian Science Foundation, grant 22-11-00075-P.

E-mail address: [ovsipa@gmail.com](mailto:ovsipa@gmail.com).

groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  such that  $G_2$  is second-countable,  $G_1^n$  is Lindelöf for any positive integer  $n$ , and  $G_1 \times G_2$  is not  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable, thereby solving Problems 8.5.2, 8.5.4, and 8.5.6 and one half of Problem 8.5.5 in [3] (the last problem is whether the product of an  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable group and (a subgroup of) a  $\sigma$ -compact group is  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable).

We use  $\mathbb{R}$  for the set of real numbers,  $\mathbb{N}$  for the set of positive integers, and  $\omega$  for the set of nonnegative integers. By  $\oplus$  we denote the topological sum of spaces and by  $|A|$ , the cardinality of a set  $A$ . The definitions of the covering dimensions  $\dim$  and  $\dim_0$  can be found in [6]. A topological space  $X$  is *zero-dimensional* if it has a base consisting of clopen sets and *strongly zero-dimensional* if any finite cover of  $X$  by cozero sets has a disjoint finite refinement (that is,  $\dim_0(X) = 0$ ). A subset  $Y$  of a space  $X$  is said to be  *$C$ -embedded* in  $X$  if any real-valued continuous function on  $Y$  has a continuous extension to  $X$ , and  $Y$  is  *$z$ -embedded* in  $X$  if every zero set of  $Y$  is the trace on  $Y$  of some zero set of  $X$ . A space  $X$  is *submetrizable* if its topology contains a metrizable one.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem.** *There exist Boolean (and hence Abelian) Hausdorff topological groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  with the following properties:*

- (i)  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable and zero-dimensional;
- (ii)  $G_1$  is submetrizable, and  $G_1^n$  is Lindelöf for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (iii)  $G_2$  is second-countable;
- (iv)  $G_1 \times G_2$  is not  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable.

Our construction of the groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  is based on Przymusiński's notion of  $n$ -cardinality [7] and on his construction of Lindelöf spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  such that  $X \times Y$  is normal and  $\dim X = \dim Y = 0$  but  $\dim(X \times Y) > 0$  [8]. Below we recall some details, following the exposition of the construction given in [6].

**Definition 2** ([7]). Let  $X$  be a set, and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The  *$n$ -cardinality* (with respect to  $X$ ) of a set  $A \subset X^n$ , denoted by  $|A|_n$ , is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that

$$A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n (X^{i-1} \times Y \times X^{n-i})$$

for some  $Y \subset X$  with  $|Y| = \kappa$  (here and in what follows it is assumed that  $X^0 \times Y = Y \times X^0 = Y$ ). Clearly,  $|A|_1 = |A|$  and  $|A|_n \leq |A|$ . If  $|A|_n \leq \omega$ , then  $A$  is said to be  *$n$ -countable*; otherwise,  $A$  is said to be  *$n$ -uncountable*.

For  $x \in X^n$  and  $i \leq n$ , we denote the  $i$ th coordinate of  $x$  by  $x_i$  and the set of all coordinates of  $x$  by  $\tilde{x}$ ; in other words, we assume that  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and set  $\tilde{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ .

**Lemma 1** (see [6, Lemma 24.1]). *Given a set  $X$ , a positive integer  $n$ , and an infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ , the following conditions on  $A \subset X^n$  are equivalent:*

- (a)  $|A|_n \geq \kappa$ ;
- (b)  $A$  contains a subset  $B$  of cardinality  $\kappa$  such that  $\tilde{p} \cap \tilde{q} = \emptyset$  whenever  $p$  and  $q$  are distinct points of  $B$ .

**Definition 3** ([6, p. 186]). Suppose given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a set  $X$ , and a topology  $\tau$  on  $X^n$ . A set  $B \subset X$  is said to be *weakly  $n$ -Bernstein with respect to  $\tau$*  if  $|A \cap B^n|_n = 2^\omega$  for every  $n$ -uncountable  $\tau$ -closed set  $A \subset X^n$ .

Abusing notation, given a topology  $\tau$  on  $X$ , we denote the product topology on  $X^n$  by  $\tau^n$ . The proof of the following lemma is very similar to that of Theorem 24.3 in [6].

**Lemma 2** (see [6, Theorem 24.3 and Proposition 24.4]). *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space with separable completely metrizable topology  $\tau$ , and let  $\mu$  be a topology on  $X^2$  with the following properties:*

- (i)  $\mu \supset \tau^2$ ;
- (ii)  $X^2$  contains at most  $2^\omega$  2-uncountable  $\mu$ -closed sets;
- (iii)  $|A|_2 \geq 2^\omega$  for any 2-uncountable  $\mu$ -closed set  $A \subset X^2$ .

*Then  $X$  has pairwise disjoint subsets  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  such that every  $B_i$  is weakly 2-Bernstein with respect to  $\mu$  and weakly  $n$ -Bernstein with respect to  $\tau^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Proof.** Let us denote the family of all 2-uncountable  $\mu$ -closed subsets of  $X^2$  by  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu,2}$  and the family of all  $n$ -uncountable  $\tau^n$ -closed subsets of  $X^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by  $\mathcal{A}_{\tau,n}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu,2} \supset \mathcal{A}_{\tau,2}$  (because  $\tau^2 \subset \mu$ ),  $|\mathcal{A}_{\tau,n}| \leq 2^\omega$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (because  $(X^n, \tau^n)$  is second-countable), and  $|\mathcal{A}_{\mu,2}| \leq 2^\omega$  (by assumption (ii) of the lemma). We set

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\mu,2} \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_{\tau,n}$$

and index the elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  by ordinals less than  $2^\omega$  as  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\alpha : \alpha < 2^\omega\}$  so that each element is assigned  $2^\omega$  indices. Let  $\alpha < 2^\omega$ . If  $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu,2}$ , then we set  $n(\alpha) = 2$ ; otherwise, we denote by  $n(\alpha)$  the unique  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $n \neq 2$ ) for which  $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\tau,n}$ . For all  $\alpha \in 2^\omega$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we recursively choose points  $p(\alpha, i) \in A_\alpha$  so that  $\tilde{p}(\alpha, i) \cap \tilde{p}(\beta, j) = \emptyset$  if  $\alpha \neq \beta$  or  $i \neq j$  in precisely the same way as in the proof of Theorem 24.3 of [6]; the only difference is that, in the case  $n(\gamma) = 2$ , we use our assumption (iii) and Lemma 1 to find a  $B \subset A_\gamma$  such that  $|B| = 2^\omega$  and  $\tilde{p} \cap \tilde{q} = \emptyset$  for any distinct  $p, q \in B$ . After that, following [6, Theorem 24.3], we set

$$B_i = \bigcup \{\tilde{p}(\alpha, i) : \alpha < 2^\omega\}$$

for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly,  $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ . For each  $n \neq 2$ , any  $n$ -uncountable  $\tau^n$ -closed subset  $A$  of  $X^n$  equals  $A_\alpha$  for  $2^\omega$  indices  $\alpha \in 2^\omega$ , and we have  $p(\alpha, i) \in A \cap B_i^{n(\alpha)}$  and  $n(\alpha) = n$  for each of these  $\alpha$  and all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\tilde{p}(\alpha, i) \cap \tilde{p}(\beta, i) = \emptyset$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , it follows that  $|A \cap B_i^n|_n \geq 2^\omega$  by Lemma 1. Similarly, we have  $|A \cap B_i^2|_2 \geq 2^\omega$  for any 2-uncountable  $\mu$ -closed (and hence for any 2-uncountable  $\tau^2$ -closed) subset  $A$  of  $X^2$ .  $\square$

Let  $C$  be the Cantor set in  $[0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $\varepsilon$  be the usual topology on  $C$  (induced by the Euclidean topology of  $\mathbb{R}$ ). In [6] a special topology  $\mu$  on  $C^2$  was defined which satisfies conditions (i)–(iii) of Lemma 2 for  $C$  and  $\varepsilon$  playing the roles of  $X$  and  $\tau$  (see Lemmas 27.2 and the proof of Lemma 27.3 in [6]). By Lemma 2  $C$  contains pairwise disjoint sets  $S_1, S_2, \dots$  which are weakly 2-Bernstein with respect to  $\mu$  and weakly  $n$ -Bernstein with respect to  $\varepsilon^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that the set  $S = C \setminus (S_1 \cup S_2)$  is weakly 2-Bernstein with respect to  $\mu$  and weakly  $n$ -Bernstein with respect to  $\varepsilon^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  too, because it contains the set  $S_3$  with these properties. In [6, proof of Theorem 27.5], given any partition  $\{S, S_1, S_2\}$  of  $C$  into subsets that are weakly 2-Bernstein with respect to  $\mu$ , topologies  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  on  $C$  were constructed which satisfied, in particular, the following conditions for  $i = 1, 2$  (see [6, pp. 210, 211]):

- (1)  $\tau_i \supset \varepsilon$ ;
- (2) any  $\tau_i$ -neighborhood of any point of  $S_i$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of this point;
- (3)  $\tau_i$  has a base consisting of  $\varepsilon$ -closed sets;

- (4)  $\dim(C, \tau_i) = \dim_0(C, \tau_i) = 0$ ;  
 (5)  $\dim((C, \tau_1) \times (C, \tau_2)) = \dim_0((C, \tau_1) \times (C, \tau_2)) = 1$ .

We fix topologies  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  on  $C$  with these properties and set  $C_i = (C, \tau_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Note that it follows from (2) that the restriction of the topology  $\tau_2$  to  $S_2$  coincides with the topology induced on  $S_2$  by  $\varepsilon$ . In what follows, by  $S_2$  we mean the set  $S_2$  endowed with this topology, i.e., treat  $S_2$  as a subspace of  $(C, \varepsilon)$ ; this is a separable metrizable space. In [6, Example 27.8] it was shown that

$$(6) \dim_0(C_1 \times S_2) > 0.$$

**Lemma 3.** *The spaces  $C_1^n$  are Lindelöf for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Proof.** We argue by induction on  $n$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be a  $\tau_1$ -open cover of  $C_1$ . For each  $x \in C_1$ , choose an element  $V_x$  of  $\gamma$  containing  $x$ . In view of (2), each point  $s \in S_1$  has an  $\varepsilon$ -open neighborhood  $U_s$  contained in  $V_s$ . Let  $U = \bigcup_{s \in S_1} U_s$ . Since  $S_1$  is weakly 1-Bernstein with respect to  $\varepsilon$  and  $C_1 \setminus U$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -closed set disjoint from  $S_1$ , it follows that  $C_1 \setminus U$  is 1-countable, that is, countable. Let  $\{U_{s_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable subcover of the  $\varepsilon$ -open cover  $\{U_s : s \in S_1\}$  of  $S_1$ . Then  $\{V_{s_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{V_x : x \in C_1 \setminus U\}$  is a countable subcover of  $\gamma$ .

Suppose that  $n > 1$  and  $C_1^k$  is known to be Lindelöf for every  $k < n$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a  $\tau_1^n$ -open cover of  $C_1^n$ . Again, for each  $x \in C_1^n$ , we choose an element  $V_x$  of  $\gamma$  containing  $x$ . In view of (2) each point  $s \in S_1^n$  has an  $\varepsilon^n$ -open neighborhood  $U_s$  contained in  $V_s$ . Let  $U = \bigcup_{s \in S_1^n} U_s$ . Since  $S_1$  is weakly  $n$ -Bernstein with respect to  $\varepsilon^n$  and  $C_1^n \setminus U$  is an  $\varepsilon^n$ -closed set disjoint from  $S_1^n$ , it follows that  $C_1^n \setminus U$  is  $n$ -countable, that is, there exists a countable set  $Y \subset C_1$  such that

$$C_1^n \setminus U \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n (C_1^{k-1} \times Y \times C_1^{n-k}).$$

This means that  $C_1^n \setminus U$  is contained in the countable union of spaces of the form  $C_1^{k-1} \times \{x\} \times C_1^{n-k}$ , where  $k \leq n$  and  $x \in Y$ , each of which is homeomorphic to  $C_1^{n-1}$  and therefore Lindelöf by the induction hypothesis. It remains to choose a countable subfamily of  $\gamma$  covering  $C_1^n \setminus U$  and a countable subfamily of  $\{V_s : s \in S_1^n\}$  covering  $U$ , which exists because  $\{V_s : s \in S_1^n\}$  has the  $\varepsilon^n$ -open refinement  $\{U_s : s \in S_1^n\}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Suppose that  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ ,  $M_1$ , and  $M_2$  are topological groups with the following properties:*

- (i)  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are topological products of zero-dimensional second-countable topological groups;
- (ii)  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are subgroups of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , respectively;
- (iii)  $C_1 \times S_2$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $G_1 \times G_2$ .

*Then the group  $G_1 \times G_2$  is not  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable.*

**Proof.** Any product of zero-dimensional second-countable topological spaces is strongly zero-dimensional [9]. Therefore, so is the product  $M_1 \times M_2$ , and it contains  $G_1 \times G_2$  as a subgroup. As is known, any  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable subgroup of a topological group  $G$  is  $z$ -embedded in  $G$  [10, Theorem 3.2]. It follows that if the group  $G_1 \times G_2$  were  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable, then this group, as well as its  $C$ -embedded subspace  $C_1 \times S_2$ , would be  $z$ -embedded in  $M_1 \times M_2$ . On the other hand, any  $z$ -embedded subspace of a strongly zero-dimensional space is strongly zero-dimensional [6, Theorem 11.22], while  $\dim_0(C_1 \times S_2) > 0$ . Hence  $C_1 \times S_2$  is not  $z$ -embedded in  $M_1 \times M_2$  and  $G_1 \times G_2$  is not  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable.  $\square$

The product  $C_1 \times S_2$  is surely  $C$ -embedded in  $G_1 \times G_2$  when  $C_1 \times S_2$  is a retract of  $G_1 \times G_2$ , which is the case if  $C_1$  is a retract of  $G_1$  and  $S_2$  is a retract of  $G_2$ . Thus, we will look for topological groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  containing  $C_1$  and  $S_2$  as retracts. These  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  will be the Boolean groups  $B(C_1)$  and  $B(S_2)$ , respectively, with special topologies.

A Boolean group is a group in which all elements are of order 2 (all such groups are Abelian), and the Boolean group  $B(X)$  with basis  $X$  is the set  $[X]^{<\omega}$  of finite subsets of  $X$  endowed with the operation  $\Delta$  of symmetric difference. The zero element is the empty set. Each point  $x \in X$  is identified with the singleton  $\{x\}$ . We use the notation  $+_2$  for the group operation of  $B(X)$  and occasionally write  $\Delta$  instead of  $+_2$ . Thus, if  $x \in X$ ,  $F, G \in B(X)$ , and  $\mathbf{A} \subset B(X)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} x +_2 F &= \{x\} +_2 F = \{x\} \Delta F, & F +_2 G &= F \Delta G, \\ F +_2 \mathbf{A} &= \{F +_2 A : A \in \mathbf{A}\} = \{F \Delta A : A \in \mathbf{A}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $X$  be a topological space. The subgroups of  $B(X)$  of the form

$$\mathbf{H}_\gamma = \{F \in B(X) : |F \cap U| \text{ is even for each } U \in \gamma\},$$

where  $\gamma$  ranges over all disjoint open covers of  $X$ , are normal (since  $B(X)$  is Abelian), and the set of all these subgroups is obviously closed under the formation of finite intersections. Therefore, this set is a neighborhood base at zero of a group topology on  $B(X)$  (see, e.g., [3, Theorem 1.3.12]). If  $X$  is zero-dimensional, then  $B(X)$  with this topology contains  $X$  as a subspace, because given any  $\gamma$  and any  $x \in X$ , we obviously have  $x +_2 \mathbf{H}_\gamma \cap X = U$ , where  $U$  is the element of  $\gamma$  containing  $x$  (this element  $U$  is determined uniquely, because  $\gamma$  is disjoint). In what follows, we use the notation  $B(X)$  for the abstract (that is, without topology) Boolean group with basis  $X$  and  $B^{\text{lin}}(X)$  for  $B(X)$  with this topology.

Recall that a topological space is said to be *non-Archimedean* if it has a base  $\mathcal{B}$  such that, for any  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ , either  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$  or one of the sets  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  contains the other (see [12]). In Theorem 3 (version 2) of [13], for a non-Archimedean space  $X$ , a retraction of the subspace

$$B_{\text{odd}}(X) = \{F \in B(X) : |F| \text{ is odd}\}$$

of  $B^{\text{lin}}(X)$  onto  $X$  was constructed (in [13] the group  $B^{\text{lin}}(X)$  was denoted by  $B_z(X)$ ; our notation follows [11], where the groups  $B^{\text{lin}}(X)$  were studied in detail). In the particular case of the Cantor set  $C$ , the construction can be modified as follows.

Recall that  $C$  can be represented as the subset of  $[0, 1]$  consisting of all numbers in  $[0, 1]$  whose ternary expansions do not contain 1. This suggests the natural base  $\mathcal{B}$  for the topology of  $C$ :

$$\mathcal{B} = \{U_{n_1 \dots n_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}, n_i \in \{0, 2\} \text{ for } i \leq k\},$$

where  $U_{n_1 \dots n_k}$  denotes the set of all numbers in  $[0, 1]$  whose ternary expansions begin with  $0.n_1 \dots n_k$ . We also include the whole set  $C$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Clearly, the elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  form a tree with respect to reverse inclusion and every element of  $\mathcal{B}$  is clopen.

There are two natural orders on the set of subsets of  $C$ , the order by inclusion and the order induced by the usual order of  $\mathbb{R}$ . In what follows, when writing, say, “ $A < B$ ,” “ $\min A$ ,” or “ $A$  is on the left of  $B$ ,” we always mean the latter, unless otherwise is explicitly stated. Note that, given any two elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ , either one of them is contained in the other or one of them is on the left of the other.

Let  $F$  be any finite subset of  $C$ . We say that a set  $A \subset C$  is *F-void* if  $A \cap F = \emptyset$ , *F-even* if  $|A \cap F|$  is even and positive, and *A-odd* if  $|A \cap F|$  is odd. Clearly, each *F-even* element of  $\mathcal{B}$  is contained in a maximal (by inclusion) *F-even* element of  $\mathcal{B}$ , and the union of these maximal *F-even* elements is equal to the union

of all  $F$ -even elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Moreover, this union is itself  $F$ -even, because  $\mathcal{B}$  is a tree and therefore any two maximal  $F$ -even elements either coincide or are disjoint. Thus, no finite set  $F \subset C$  of odd cardinality is covered by  $F$ -even elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

For  $F \in B_{\text{odd}}(C)$ , we set

$$r(F) = \min(F \setminus \bigcup \{B \in \mathcal{B} : B \text{ is } F\text{-even}\}), \quad (*)$$

or, equivalently,

$$r(F) = \min(F \setminus \bigcup \{B \in \mathcal{B} : B \text{ is an inclusion-maximal } F\text{-even element of } \mathcal{B}\}).$$

**Lemma 5.** *There exists a second-countable zero-dimensional group topology  $\tau$  on  $B(C)$  such that it induces the Euclidean topology  $\varepsilon$  on  $C$  and the map  $r: B_{\text{odd}}(C) \rightarrow C$  defined by  $(*)$  is continuous with respect to the topology  $\tau|_{B_{\text{odd}}(C)}$  (that is,  $\tau$  restricted to  $B_{\text{odd}}(C)$ ).*

**Proof.** Recall that, given a disjoint open cover  $\gamma$  of  $C$ ,

$$\mathbf{H}_\gamma = \{F \in B(C) : |F \cap U| \text{ is even for each } U \in \gamma\}.$$

The family

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\mathbf{H}_\gamma : \gamma \text{ is a disjoint cover of } C \text{ by elements of } \mathcal{B}\}$$

of subgroups of  $B(C)$  is a neighborhood base at zero for a group topology  $\tau$  of  $B(C)$ . This family is countable, because all open disjoint covers of  $C$  are finite (since  $C$  is compact) and  $\mathcal{B}$  is countable. It is easy to check that  $C$  is contained in  $(B(C), \tau)$  as a subspace. Indeed, take any point  $x \in C$  and any neighborhood  $V_x \in \mathcal{B}$  of  $x$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a disjoint cover of  $C$  consisting of  $V_x$  and some other elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ . If  $F \in \mathbf{H}_\gamma$  and  $x +_2 F = \{x\} \triangle F \in C$ , then either  $F = \emptyset$  or  $F = \{x, y\}$ . In the latter case,  $x +_2 F = y$  and by the definition of  $\mathbf{H}_\gamma$  the point  $y$  must belong to the same element of  $\gamma$  as  $x$ , that is, to  $V_x$ . Thus,  $(x +_2 \mathbf{H}_\gamma) \cap C \subset V_x$ . This shows that the topology induced by  $\tau$  on  $C$  is not coarser than the topology  $\varepsilon$  of  $C$ . On the other hand, it cannot be finer, because  $\tau$  is coarser than the topology of  $B^{\text{lin}}(C)$ . Obviously,  $(B(C), \tau)$  is  $T_0$  and hence Tychonoff.

Note that all elements in any  $\mathbf{H}_\gamma$  are of even cardinality. Therefore, for every  $F \in B_{\text{odd}}(C)$ , we have  $F +_2 \mathbf{H}_\gamma = \{F \triangle H : H \in \mathbf{H}_\gamma\} \subset B_{\text{odd}}(C)$ .

Let us show that the map  $r$  is continuous with respect to the topology  $\tau|_{B_{\text{odd}}(C)}$ . Suppose that  $x = r(F)$  for  $F \in B_{\text{odd}}(C)$ . By construction  $x \in F$ . Take any neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ . Let  $V_1, \dots, V_m$  be all inclusion-maximal  $F$ -even elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ ; their number is finite because they are pairwise disjoint (since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a tree) and each of them intersects the finite set  $F$ . None of these elements contains  $x$  (because  $x = r(F)$ ), and all of them are clopen. Choose a neighborhood  $V_x \in \mathcal{B}$  of  $x$  satisfying the conditions  $V_x \subset U$ ,  $V_x \cap F = \{x\}$ , and  $V_x \cap V_i = \emptyset$  for  $i \leq m$ . Consider the cover of  $C$  consisting of the sets  $V_x$  and  $V_1, \dots, V_m$  and of all elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  disjoint from them. This cover has a disjoint subcover  $\gamma$ , because any two of its elements are either disjoint or contained in one another (recall that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a tree). Clearly,  $\gamma$  is finite. We claim that  $r(F +_2 \mathbf{H}_\gamma) \subset V_x$ .

Indeed, take an  $H \in \mathbf{H}_\gamma$ . We must show that  $r(F \triangle H) \in V_x$ . Note that an element  $V$  of  $\gamma$  is  $(F \triangle H)$ -odd if and only if it is  $F$ -odd, because each element of  $\gamma$  is either  $H$ -even or  $H$ -void and a point of  $F$  can be canceled in  $F \triangle H$  only by some point of  $H$ . In particular,  $V_x$  is  $(F \triangle H)$ -odd.

Let  $V$  be the leftmost (with respect to the natural order  $<$  on  $C$ )  $F$ -odd ( $= (F \triangle H)$ -odd) element of  $\gamma$ . Note that  $V \cap F$  is disjoint from all inclusion-maximal  $F$ -even elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ , because all such elements are included in  $\gamma$  and  $V$  is not among them. By the definition of the map  $r$  we have  $x = r(F) \leq \min(V \cap F)$ .

Since  $x \in V_x$ , it follows that  $V_x$  either coincides with  $V$  or is on the left of  $V$ , and since  $V_x$  is  $F$ -odd, it follows that  $V = V_x$ .

The point  $r(F \triangle H)$  cannot belong to an  $(F \triangle H)$ -even or  $(F \triangle H)$ -void element of  $\gamma$ , because  $\gamma \subset \mathcal{B}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} r(F \triangle H) &\in (F \triangle H) \setminus \bigcup \{B \in \mathcal{B} : B \text{ is } (F \triangle H)\text{-even}\} \\ &= (F \triangle H) \setminus \bigcup \{B \in \mathcal{B} : B \text{ is } (F \triangle H)\text{-even or } (F \triangle H)\text{-void}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the element  $V$  of  $\gamma$  containing  $r(F \triangle H)$  is  $(F \triangle H)$ -odd and hence either coincides with  $V_x$  or is on the right of  $V_x$ . Since  $r(F \triangle H)$  is the least element of  $F \triangle H$  not belonging to  $\bigcup \{B \in \mathcal{B} : B \text{ is } (F \triangle H)\text{-even}\}$  and  $r(F \triangle H) \in V$ , it follows that there exists a family  $\mathcal{B}'$  of  $(F \triangle H)$ -even elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that

$$\bigcup \mathcal{B}' \supset \{y \in F \triangle H : y < V\}.$$

Suppose that  $V \neq V_x$ . Since  $V_x$  is  $(F \triangle H)$ -odd, we have  $(F \triangle H) \cap V_x \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $W_1, \dots, W_k$  be all inclusion-maximal elements of  $\mathcal{B}'$  intersecting  $(F \triangle H) \cap V_x$ . Each  $W_i$ , being an element of  $\mathcal{B}$ , either contains  $V_x$  or is contained in  $V_x$ , because  $V_x \in \mathcal{B}$ . By maximality the sets  $W_1, \dots, W_k$  are pairwise disjoint. Therefore, if  $k \geq 2$ , then all of them are contained in  $V_x$  and  $V_x \cap (F \triangle H) = \bigcup_{i \leq k} W_i \cap (F \triangle H)$ . This is impossible, because  $|V_x \cap (F \triangle H)|$  is odd and all  $|W_i \cap (F \triangle H)|$  are even. Thus, some element  $W$  of  $\mathcal{B}'$  contains  $V_x \ni x$ . Moreover, this  $W$  is a union of some elements of  $\gamma$ , since  $V_x \in \gamma$ ,  $W \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\gamma \subset \mathcal{B}$ , and  $\gamma$  covers  $C$ . This means that  $|W \cap H|$  is even and therefore so is  $|W \cap F|$ , because  $W$  is  $(F \triangle H)$ -even. However,  $x$  equals  $r(F)$  and hence does not belong to any  $F$ -even or  $F$ -void element of  $\mathcal{B}$ . This contradiction proves that  $V = V_x$ , i.e.,  $r(F \triangle H) \in V_x$ .

Thus,  $r(F +_2 \mathbf{H}_\gamma) \subset V_x$ . We have shown that, for any  $F \in B_{\text{odd}}(C)$  and any neighborhood  $U$  of  $x = r(F)$  in  $C$ , there exists an  $\mathbf{H}_\gamma \in \mathcal{H}$  such that the image of the open neighborhood  $F +_2 \mathbf{H}_\gamma$  of  $F$  in  $(B(C), \tau)$  under  $r$  is contained in  $U$ . This means that  $r$  is continuous with respect to the topology  $\tau|_{B_{\text{odd}}(C)}$ .

It remains to note that the group  $(B(C), \tau)$  is zero-dimensional and metrizable, because the neighborhood base  $\mathcal{H}$  at zero is countable and consists of open (and hence closed) subgroups, and it is separable, because

$$B(C) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} B_n(C), \quad \text{where } B_n(C) = \{F \in B(C) : |F| \leq n\},$$

and each  $B_n(C)$  is the image of the separable space  $(C \oplus \{\emptyset\})^n$  under the addition map  $i_n : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_1 +_2 \dots +_2 x_n$ , which is continuous with respect to any group topology on  $B(C)$  inducing  $\varepsilon$  on  $C$ .  $\square$

Let  $B^\tau(C)$  denote the group  $B(C)$  with the topology  $\tau$  defined in Lemma 5.

**Lemma 6.** *The Cantor space  $C$  is a retract of  $B^\tau(C)$ . Moreover, for any  $x_0 \in C$ , the map*

$$\hat{r} : B^\tau(C) \rightarrow C, \quad \hat{r}(F) = \begin{cases} r(F) & \text{if } F \in B_{\text{odd}}(C), \\ x_0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $r$  is defined by  $(*)$ , is a retraction.

**Proof.** The map  $\hat{r}$  is continuous, because  $B_{\text{odd}}(C)$  is clopen in  $B^\tau(C)$ , being a coset of the open subgroup

$$B_{\text{even}}(C) = \mathbf{H}_{\{C\}} = \{F \in B(C) : |F \cap C| = |F| \text{ is even}\}$$

of  $B^\tau(C)$ . Clearly, for every  $x \in C$ , we have

$$\hat{r}(x) = r(x) = \min(\{x\} \setminus \bigcup\{B \in \mathcal{B} : B \text{ is } \{x\}\text{-even}\}) = x,$$

because there are no  $\{x\}$ -even sets. Thus,  $\hat{r}$  is a retraction.  $\square$

Now we can prove the main theorem.

**Proof of the main theorem.** We take the group  $B^{\text{lin}}(C_1)$  as  $G_1$  and the subgroup of  $B^\tau(C)$  generated by  $S_2$  as  $G_2$ . According to [13, Theorem 7 (version 2)],  $C_1$  is a retract of  $B^{\text{lin}}(C_1)$ . Take any  $x_0 \in S_2$ . Restricting the retraction  $\hat{r}$  defined in Lemma 6 for this  $x_0$  to  $G_2$ , we obtain a retraction of  $G_2$  onto  $S_2$ . Indeed, according to (\*), we have  $r(F) \in F$  for any  $F \in B(C)$ . Therefore,  $\hat{r}(F) \in F \cup \{x_0\} \subset S_2$  for any  $F \in G_2$ , whence  $\hat{r}(G_2) = S_2$ .

By Lemma 5 the group  $B^\tau(C)$  is second-countable and zero-dimensional; hence so is its subgroup  $G_2$ . The topology of  $B^{\text{lin}}(C_1)$  is finer than  $\tau$ , which implies the submetrizability of  $G_1$ . The same argument as at the end of the proof of Lemma 5 shows that  $G_1^n$  is Lindelöf for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In more detail,

$$B^{\text{lin}}(C_1) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} B_n(C), \quad \text{where} \quad B_n(C) = \{F \in B(C) : |F| \leq n\},$$

and each  $B_n(C_1)$  is the image of  $(C_1 \oplus \{\emptyset\})^n$  under the continuous addition map  $i_n: (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_1 +_2 \dots +_2 x_n$ . Hence  $G_1 = B^{\text{lin}}(C_1)$  is a continuous image of the sum  $C_\infty = \bigoplus_{n \in \omega} (C_1 \oplus \{\emptyset\})^n$  and  $G_1^n$  is a continuous image of  $C_\infty^n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Lemma 3 all spaces  $C_1^n$  are Lindelöf; therefore, so are  $(C_1 \oplus \{\emptyset\})^n$  and  $C_\infty^n$ . It follows that all  $G_1^n$  are Lindelöf.

Note that both groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable, being Lindelöf [1]. Let us show that  $G_1 \times G_2$  is not. To this end, we first embed  $G_1$  in a product of zero-dimensional second-countable groups and then apply Lemma 4.

Let  $\Gamma$  denote the set of all disjoint open covers of  $C_1$ . We fix a countable discrete space  $D = \{d_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and denote by  $B^d(D)$  the Boolean group  $B(D)$  endowed with the discrete topology. Note that any cover  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  is countable, because  $C_1$  is Lindelöf. Let  $\gamma = \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be such a cover. Consider the map  $f_\gamma: C_1 \rightarrow D$  defined by  $f_\gamma(U_n) = \{d_n\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\hat{f}_\gamma: G_1 \rightarrow B^d(D)$  be the homomorphism extending  $f_\gamma$  to  $G_1$ ; it is defined by  $\hat{f}_\gamma(x_1 +_2 \dots +_2 x_n) = f_\gamma(x_1) +_2 \dots +_2 f_\gamma(x_n)$  for  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in C_1$ . The preimage  $\hat{f}_\gamma^{-1}$  of the zero element  $\emptyset$  of  $B^d(D)$  is precisely  $\mathbf{H}_\gamma = \{F \in B^{\text{lin}}(C_1) : |F \cap U| \text{ is even for each } U \in \gamma\}$ ; therefore,  $\hat{f}_\gamma$  is continuous. Since the subgroups  $\mathbf{H}_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , form a base of neighborhoods of zero for the topology of  $B^{\text{lin}}(C_1)$ , it follows that the homomorphisms  $\hat{f}_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , separate points from closed sets and therefore the diagonal

$$\Delta_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \hat{f}_\gamma: B^{\text{lin}}(C_1) \rightarrow B^d(D)^{|\Gamma|}$$

is a homeomorphic embedding; clearly, this is a homomorphism. Thus,  $B^{\text{lin}}(C_1)$  is topologically isomorphic to a subgroup of the power  $B^d(D)^{|\Gamma|}$  of the countable discrete group  $B^d(D)$ , which gives us what we need.

Applying Lemma 4 to the groups  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ ,  $M_1 = B^d(D)^{|\Gamma|}$ , and  $M_2 = G_2$ , we see that  $G_1 \times G_2$  is not  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable.  $\square$

The author is most grateful to Evgenii Reznichenko for very useful discussions.

## References

- [1] M.G. Tkachenko, Factorization theorems for topological groups and their applications, *Topol. Appl.* 38 (1991) 21–37.



- [2] L.S. Pontryagin, Continuous Groups, Gos. Izd. Tekhn.-Teoret. Lit., Moscow, 1954.
- [3] A. Arhangel'skii, M. Tkachenko, Topological Groups and Related Structures, Atlantis Press/World Sci., Amsterdam–Paris, 2008.
- [4] O. Sipacheva, No subgroup theorem for the covering dimension of topological groups, arXiv:2303.04593 [math.GN].
- [5] E. Reznichenko, The product of Lindelöf groups and  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizability, *Topol. Appl.* 345 (2024) 108837.
- [6] M.G. Charalambous, Dimension Theory: A Selection of Theorems and Counterexamples, Springer International, Cham, 2019.
- [7] T.C. Przymusiński, On the notion of  $n$ -cardinality, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 69 (1978) 333–338.
- [8] T.C. Przymusiński, On the dimension of product spaces and an example of M. Wage, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 76 (1979) 315–321.
- [9] K. Morita, On the dimension of the product of Tychonoff spaces, *Gen. Topol. Appl.* 3 (1973) 123–133.
- [10] S. Hernández, M. Sanchis, M.G. Tkachenko, Bounded sets in spaces and topological groups, *Topol. Appl.* 101 (2000) 21–43.
- [11] O. Sipacheva, Free Boolean topological groups, *Axioms* 4 (2015) 492–517.
- [12] P.J. Nyikos, Some surprising base properties in topology, in: N.M. Stavrakas, K.R. Allen (Eds.), *Studies in Topology*, Academic Press, New York–San Francisco–London, 1975, pp. 427–450.
- [13] P.M. Gartside, E.A. Reznichenko, O.V. Sipacheva, Mal'tsev and retral spaces, *Topol. Appl.* 80 (1997) 115–129.